UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA SAVANNAH DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
)	
v.)	4:18-CR-274-3
)	
HIGINIO PEREZ-BRAVO)	

Defendant Higinio Perez-Bravo, represented by his counsel Robert Paul Phillips, III and John R. Martin, and the United States of America, represented by Assistant United States Attorneys Tania D. Groover and Chris Howard, have reached a plea agreement in this case. The terms and conditions of that agreement are as follows.

1. Guilty Plea

Defendant agrees to enter a plea of guilty to Count Eight of the Indictment, which charges a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1958(a)

2. Elements and Factual Basis

The elements necessary to prove the offense charged in Count Eight, charging a violation of Conspiracy to Commit Murder-For-Hire, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1958(a) are:

First: Two or more persons in some way agreed to try to

accomplish the shared and unlawful plan to commit murder-for-

hire;

Second: Defendant knew the unlawful purpose of the plan and

willfully joined in it; and

Third: Death resulted from the conspiracy.

The offense of murder-for-hire requires:

First: The use or causing another to use any facility of interstate or

foreign commerce:

Second: The intent that a murder be committed in violation of the laws

of any state of the United States; and

Third: A promise or agreement to pay anything of pecuniary value as

consideration for committing the murder.

Defendant agrees that he is, in fact, guilty of this offense. He agrees to the accuracy of the following facts, which satisfy each of the offense's required elements:

Beginning in or about May 2017 and continuing through on or about August 19, 2017, the exact dates being unknown to the Grand Jury, in Chatham County and Effingham County, within the Southern District of Georgia, and elsewhere, the Defendant, aided and abetted by others, did knowingly and willfully combine, conspire, confederate and agree with others to use or cause another to use facilities of interstate commerce, for the purpose of murdering a person, later identified as Eliud Montoya, for money and the promise of money, in violation of the laws of the United States and the State of Georgia, which resulted in the death of Eliud Montoya. All done in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1958(a), and 2.

3. Possible Sentence

Defendant's guilty plea will subject him to a term of life imprisonment, a term of supervised release up to life, a \$250,000 fine, such restitution as may be ordered by the Court, and forfeiture of all forfeitable assets. The Court additionally must impose a \$100 special assessment per count of conviction.

4. No Promised Sentence

No one has promised Defendant that the Court will impose any particular sentence or a sentence within any particular range. The Court is not bound by any estimate of sentence given or recommendations made by Defendant's counsel, the government, the U.S. Probation Office, or anyone else. The Court may impose a sentence up to the statutory maximum. Defendant will not be allowed to withdraw his plea of guilty if he receives a more severe sentence than he expects.

5. Court's Use of Sentencing Guidelines

The Court is obligated to use the United States Sentencing Guidelines to calculate the applicable guideline range for Defendant's offense. The Sentencing Guidelines are advisory; the Court is not required to impose a sentence within the range those Guidelines suggest. The Court will consider that range, possible departures under the Sentencing Guidelines, and other sentencing factors under 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), in determining the Defendant's sentence. The Sentencing Guidelines are based on all of Defendant's relevant conduct, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 1B1.3, not just the conduct underlying the particular Count or Counts to which Defendant is pleading guilty.

6. Agreements Regarding Sentencing Guidelines

a. Use of Information

Nothing in this agreement precludes the government from providing full and accurate information to the Court and U.S. Probation Office for use in calculating the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range. Any incriminating information provided by

the defendant during his cooperation will not be used in determining the applicable Guidelines range, pursuant to Section 1B1.8 of the Sentencing Guidelines.

Acceptance of Responsibility b.

If the Court determines that Defendant qualifies for an adjustment under U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1(a), and the offense level prior to operation of § 3E1.1(a) is 16 or greater, the government will move for an additional one-level reduction in offense Highnia form B. level pursuant to Section 3E1.1(b) based on Refendant's timely notification of his intention to enter a guilty plea

CDH

Agreement Regarding Role Reduction c.

The government agrees not to oppose Defendant's request that he receive a two-level mitigating role reduction under U.S.S.G. § 3B1.2(b).

7. Cooperation

Complete and Truthful Cooperation a.

If Defendant elects to cooperate, he must provide full, complete, candid, and truthful cooperation in the investigation and prosecution of the offense charged in his Superseding Indictment and any related offenses. Defendant shall fully and truthfully disclose his knowledge of those offenses and shall fully and truthfully answer any question put to him by law enforcement officers about those offenses.

This agreement does not require Defendant to "make a case" against any particular person. His benefits under this agreement are conditioned only on his cooperation and truthfulness, not on the outcome of any trial, grand jury, or other proceeding.

b. Motion for Reduction in Sentence Based on Cooperation

The government, in its **sole discretion**, will decide whether Defendant's cooperation qualifies as "substantial assistance" pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1 or Fed. R. Crim. P. 35 and thereby warrants the filing of a motion for downward departure or reduction in Defendant's sentence. If such a motion is filed, the Court, in its sole discretion, will decide whether, and to what extent, Defendant's sentence should be reduced. The Court is not required to accept any recommendation by the government that the Defendant's sentence be reduced.

8. Financial Obligations and Agreements

a. Restitution

The amount of restitution ordered by the Court shall include restitution for the full loss caused by Defendant's total criminal conduct. Restitution is not limited to the specific counts to which Defendant is pleading guilty. Any restitution judgment is intended to and will survive Defendant, notwithstanding the abatement of any underlying criminal conviction.

b. Special Assessment

Defendant agrees to pay a special assessment in the amount of \$100, payable to the Clerk of the United States District Court, which shall be due immediately at the time of sentencing.

c. <u>Required Financial Disclosures</u>

By the date that Defendant enters a guilty plea, Defendant shall complete a financial disclosure form listing all his assets and financial interests, whether held directly or indirectly, solely or jointly, in his name or in the name of another. Defendant shall sign the financial disclosure form under penalty of perjury and provide that form to the Financial Litigation Unit of the United States Attorney's Office and to the United States Probation Office. Defendant authorizes the United States to obtain credit reports on Defendant and to share the contents of those reports with the Court and the United States Probation Office. Defendant also authorizes the United States Attorney's Office to inspect and copy all financial documents and information held by the United States Probation Office.

d. Financial Examination

Defendant will submit to an examination under oath on the issue of his financial disclosures and assets if deemed necessary by the United States. Such examination will occur not later than 30 days after the entry of Defendant's guilty plea.

e. No Transfer of Assets

Defendant certifies that he has made no transfer of assets in contemplations of this prosecution for the purpose of evading or defeating financial obligations created by this Agreement or that may be imposed upon him by the Court at sentencing. Defendant promises that he will make no such transfers in the future.

f. <u>Material Change in Circumstances</u>

Defendant agrees to notify the United States of any material change in circumstances, as described in 18 U.S.C. § 3664(k), that occurs prior to sentencing in

this case. Such notification will be made within seven days of the event giving rise to the changed circumstances, and in no event later than the date of sentencing.

g. <u>Enforcement</u>

Any payment schedule imposed by the Court is without prejudice to the United States to take all actions and remedies available to it to collect the full amount of the financial obligations imposed by the judgment of the Court in this case. Defendant understands and agrees that the financial obligations imposed by the judgment of the Court in this case will be placed on the Treasury Offset Program so that any federal payment that Defendant receives may be offset and applied to the judgment debt without regard to or affecting any payment schedule imposed by the Court.

9. Waivers

a. Waiver of Appeal

Defendant entirely waives his right to a direct appeal of his conviction and sentence on any ground (including any argument that the statute to which the defendant is pleading guilty is unconstitutional or that the admitted conduct does not fall within the scope of the statute). The only exceptions are that the Defendant may file a direct appeal of his sentence if (1) the court enters a sentence above the statutory maximum, (2) the court enters a sentence above the advisory Sentencing Guidelines range found to apply by the court at sentencing; or (3) the Government appeals the sentence. Absent those exceptions, Defendant explicitly and irrevocably instructs his attorney not to file an appeal.

b. Waiver of Collateral Attack

Defendant entirely waives his right to collaterally attack his conviction and sentence on any ground and by any method, including but not limited to a 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion. The only exception is that Defendant may collaterally attack his conviction and sentence based on a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel.

c. FOIA and Privacy Act Waiver

Defendant waives all rights, whether asserted directly or through a representative, to request or receive from any department or agency of the United States any record pertaining to the investigation or prosecution of this case under the authority of the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552, or the Privacy Act of 1974, 5 U.S.C. § 552a, and all subsequent amendments thereto.

d. Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(f) and Fed. R. Evid. 410 Waiver

Rule 11(f) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and Rule 410 of the Federal Rules of Evidence ordinarily limit the admissibility of statements made by a defendant during the course of plea discussions or plea proceedings. Defendant knowingly and voluntarily waives the protections of these rules. If Defendant fails to plead guilty, or his plea of guilty is later withdrawn, all of Defendant's statements in connection with this plea, and any leads derived therefrom, shall be admissible for any and all purposes.

10. <u>Possible Immigration Effects</u>

Pleading guilty may have consequences with respect to immigration status if Defendant is not a citizen of the United States. Under federal law, a broad range of crimes are removable offenses. Removal and other immigration consequences are the

subject of a separate proceeding. No one, including Defendant's attorney or the district court, can predict to a certainty the effect of his conviction on his immigration status. Defendant nevertheless agrees to plead guilty regardless of any immigration consequences, even if the consequence is his removal from the United States.

11. <u>Defendant's Rights</u>

Defendant has the right to be represented by counsel, and if necessary have the court appoint counsel, at trial and at every other critical stage of the proceeding. Defendant possesses a number of rights which he will waive by pleading guilty, including: the right to plead not guilty, or having already so pleaded, to persist in that plea; the right to a jury trial; and the right at trial to confront and cross-examine adverse witnesses, to be protected from compelled self-incrimination, to testify and present evidence, and to compel the attendance of witnesses.

12. Satisfaction with Counsel

Defendant has had the benefit of legal counsel in negotiating this agreement. Defendant believes that his attorney has represented him faithfully, skillfully, and diligently, and he is completely satisfied with the legal advice given and the work performed by his attorney.

13. Breach of Plea Agreement

If Defendant fails to plead guilty, withdraws or attempts to withdraw his guilty plea, commits any new criminal conduct following the execution of this agreement, or otherwise breaches this agreement, the government is released from all of its agreements regarding Defendant's sentence, including any agreements regarding the

calculation of Defendant's advisory Sentencing Guidelines. In addition, the government may declare the plea agreement null and void, reinstate any counts that may have been dismissed pursuant to the plea agreement, and/or file new charges against Defendant that might otherwise be barred by this plea agreement. Defendant waives any statute-of-limitations or speedy trial defense to prosecutions reinstated or commenced under this paragraph.

14. Entire Agreement

This agreement contains the entire agreement between the government and Defendant.

DAVID H. ESTES ACTING UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

Date

Karl I. Knoche Georgia Bar 426624 Chief, Criminal Division

14/27 Date

Tania D. Groover Georgia Bar 127947 Assistant United States Attorney

Date

Chris Howard

New York Bar 4935938

Assistant United States Attorney

I have read and carefully reviewed this agreement with my attorney. I understand each provision of this agreement, and I voluntarily agree to it. I hereby stipulate that the factual basis set out therein is true and accurate in every respect.

4/14/22 Date

Higinio Perez-Bravo

Defendant

I have fully explained to Defendant all of his rights, and I have carefully reviewed each and every part of this agreement with him. I believe that he fully and completely understands it, and that his decision to enter into this agreement is an informed, intelligent, and voluntary one.

4/14/**2**2_Date

Robert Paul Phillips, III

Defendant's Attorney

Date

John R. Martin Defendant's Attorney